

| <i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i> | Application No. 10/596,970 Examiner SARAH PIHONAK | Applicant(s) GABRIZOVA, LEONA <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit</th> <th style="width: 150px;"></th> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">1617</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> | Art Unit | | 1617 | |
|--|--|--|-----------------|--|------|--|
| Art Unit | | | | | | |
| 1617 | | | | | | |

All Participants:

(1) SARAH PIHONAK.

(2) CARL OPPENDAHL.

Date of Interview: 30 July 2009

Status of Application: Allowable

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: P.M.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
18 & 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See continuation sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/S. P./
Examiner, Art Unit 1617

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Spoke with Applicant's attorney, Carl Oppendahl, regarding after final amendment for claims 18 and 19 added by an examiner's amendment. An examiner's amendment was approved by attorney. Claims 18 and 19 are allowed.